

Updated: March 24th, 2014

1. **Do** I currently (now until March 31st) hold a valid Authorization to Possess (ATP) or Personal Production License (PPL) or ATP and have a Designated Grower (DG)?; If the answer is 'yes' then you are grandfathered until further Order of the court the only change being the 150gm possession limit;

2. **Did** I hold a valid ATP/PPL or ATP/DG on September 30th, 2013?; If you had one then and didn't renew later you are still covered as above as long as you had valid documents on the key date;

3. **Do** I hold a valid ATP/PPL or ATP/DG issued after September 30th, 2013 whether a new one or amended one? If you managed to renew after that date you are covered.

It seems to me that this covers everyone except those who expired **before** September 30, 2013 and didn't renew at all.

The key is the status of the license on September 30th or currently and you can ignore the expiry date and do not have to renew but possession is limited to 150grms.

Your PPL or DG plant count and storage numbers remain the same.

John W. Conroy QC
Conroy & Company
Barrister & Solicitor
2459 Pauline Street
Abbotsford, B.C.
Canada
V2S 3S1
Webpage: www.johnconroy.com
Email: jconroy@johnconroy.com
Tel: (604) 852 5110
Fax: (604) 859 3361

Reasons for Judgment

[126] In effect, the Applicants seek the regulatory scheme as it was under the MMAR and do not object to the provisions of the MMPR that relate to private growers. The way in which this can be accomplished in a manner least intrusive to the legislative sphere is to exempt those who currently hold a valid ATP, who held a valid DPL or PPL as of September 30, 2013, or hold a valid amended or new DPL or PPL that was issued after September 30, 2013, from the repeal of the MMAR and any provisions of the MMPR which are inconsistent with the relevant provisions of the MMAR, pending an expeditious trial and a decision of this case on its merits.

Relief or Order

3. The Applicants who held, as of September 30, 2013, or were issued thereafter a valid Personal-use Production Licence pursuant to section 24 of the Marihuana Medical Access Regulations, or a Designated-person Production Licence pursuant to section 34 of the Marihuana Medical Access Regulations, are exempt from the repeal of the Marihuana Medical Access Regulations and any other operation of the Marihuana for Medical Purposes Regulations, which is inconsistent with the operation of the Marihuana Medical Access Regulations, to the extent that the Designated - person Production License or Personal-use Production License held by the Applicant shall remain valid until such time as a decision in this case is rendered at trial, and subject to the terms of paragraph 4 of this Order;

4. The terms of the exemption for an Applicant who held, as of September 30, 2013, or was issued thereafter a valid Personal-use Production License pursuant to section 24 of the Marihuana Medical Access Regulations or a Designated-person Production License pursuant to section 34 of the Marihuana Medical Access Regulations, shall be in accordance with the terms of their license, notwithstanding the expiry date stated on that license.