File No. 94505-01

CANADA

IN THE PROVINCIAL COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

(BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE T.D. DEVITT)

SURREY, B.C.

1999 DECEMBER 03

REGINA

V

NICOLE LOUISE GIONET

PROCEEDINGS AT SENTENCE

APPEARANCES:

J.A. THOMPSON

J. CONROY

K. BLOXOM

S. STYRNA

for the Crown for the Defence

Court recorder Transcriber

FIRST COPY

47

Proceedings

MR. THOMPSON: The other matter I have this morning is 1 2 Mr. Conroy's matter, that's Gionet. 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MR. CONROY: Good morning, Your Honour. Ms. Gionet is 5 present. 6 MR. THOMPSON: My understanding is Mr. Patterson has 7 provided Your Honour with a brief of authorities 8 or some information on this matter, and he has 9 asked me to convey to Your Honour that we're 10 taking no position on sentencing. 11 THE COURT: Well, that ought to shorten things then, 12 Mr. Conroy. 13 MR. CONROY: I think so, Your Honour. I think we 14 already entered the plea and did all of that. 15 THE COURT: That was my recollection, but maybe the 16 Clerk can confirm that. 17 MR. CONROY: That's correct, a plea to simple 18 possession. Just have a seat then, Ms. Gionet. 19 THE COURT: I understand, having looked at the 20 materials, that she claims that the basis of 21 possession here is for medicinal purposes. 22 MR. CONROY: That's right. 23 THE COURT: She suffers from -- and I can't pronounce 24 the --25 MR. CONROY: Fibromyalgia. THE COURT: Thank you. Which is extremely painful and 26 27 because she's in constant pain, she uses the 28 marihuana to relieve that pain. Is that the 29 essence? 30 MR. CONROY: And she uses it by not smoking it. 31 THE COURT: Yes. 32 MR. CONROY: By eating it, by making cookies, things of 33 that kind, because she can't smoke, and she's 34 found that only one particular strain works for 35 36 THE COURT: Yes. 37 MR. CONROY: And the recent evidence -- I know my 38 friend in his materials excerpted something from 39 the Institute of Medicine Report, which is the 40 latest U.S. investigation. 41 THE COURT: Well, I don't know how to deal with all that quite frankly, because you've got conflicting 42 43 -- you know, I'm not a scientist. I can't weigh 44 up the --MR. CONROY: Well, in terms of my friend's materials, 45

my position in terms of where it conflicts with

what's in Kang, I simply say let's not forget that

47

1 the evidence in the Kang that we rely upon is the 2 evidence from the government's witness, Dr. 3 Collant (phonetic). A lot of the stuff in my friend's materials, in my submission --4 5 THE COURT: Why don't you tell me what you're seeking, 6 Mr. Conroy? 7 MR. CONROY: Well, I'm seeking an absolute or a 8 conditional discharge. There is -- you have in 9 front of you the --THE COURT: I think you're pushing against an open 10 11 door. Now, what --12 MR. CONROY: I can give you three examples of cases 13 similar to this in which conditional discharges were granted. In Slazowski (phonetic), it was one 14 15 year, conditional discharge, keep the peace and be 16 of good behaviour was the only condition. 17 In a recent decision of Judge Howard, a 18 medical grow again, a case called Davis, a hundred 19 and two plants, Judge Howard on September 15th 20 gave a six month conditional discharge, the only 21 condition being to keep the peace and be of good 22 behaviour. Slazowski was a glaucoma case. Davis 23 was a similar case to this. It was something 24 called -- I have a harder time pronouncing --THE COURT: | Well, what social value is there in a 25 26 conditional discharge? 27 MR. CONROY: Well, my view is --28 THE COURT: If it's just keeping the peace? 29 MR. CONROY: In my submission, this is an appropriate 30 case for an absolute discharge. She is now and 31 continues to be under the treatment of a 32 physician, and is now using morphine which is a much heavier drug in terms of the problem. He's a 33 34 member of the compassion club and so when she --35 when they do have the strain that assists her, she's able to get it through them, and the 36 37 authorities are accepting that if she has a letter 38 from her doctor that that's acceptable, and so 39 that's the regime that she has been following. 40 She had to sell her house and downscale to a 41 much smaller place, and she's just getting by as best she can. So I would ask that you consider an 42 43 absolute discharge in the circumstances of this 44 particular case, because in my submission it's 45 very, very clear that that was her sole purpose,

was for her own medical use.

THE COURT: | How much are we talking about?

MR. CONROY: Here we're talking only twenty-eight plants, whereas in Davis it was a hundred and two, and Slazowski, I believe was over a hundred plants. Leap, which is the older Court of Appeal case that I believe is in the materials, as well, was seventy-five plants, so we're talking a lesser number of plants here than in all of the other cases where discharges have been granted for medical purposes.

Now, I can give you lots more detail if you wish in terms of what the latest is and what's going on in this area. The Minister is granting exemptions to people. There's about --

THE COURT: I understand the new one, that you get personal exemptions?

MR. CONROY: That's what seems to have developed after the Wakeford case. Wakeford tried to do the same as Parker. Parker had been granted the constitutional exemption in Ontario. Then the judge in Wakeford said, "Well, you have to apply for the exemption first before I can grant you a constitutional exemption and exempt you from the Minister." So Wakeford did and then they found out that this procedure really was non-existent in terms of granting the exemption. They had to sort of create it and so the Court -- it came back to the Court and Wakeford was granted a constitutional exemption, pending the exemption from the Minister which he then obtained.

Since then there's been -- I think the total now is about fourteen people in Canada who have exemptions, and there are as I understand it many others pending, that are being considered.

THE COURT: Is she seeking that?

MR. CONROY: She hasn't at this point.

THE ACCUSED: Yes.

MR. CONROY: Oh, have you now filed one? Okay. So she tells me she now has sent one in, so that hopefully that will ultimately be obtained.

The science at the moment is they've determined there's some sixty-six cannibanoids apparently in the marihuana and they can't -- haven't figured out yet which cannibanoids work for which illnesses, but they've at least determined that it seems to be these cannibanoids that are the things that have the effect, depending upon the medical condition.

Sentence (Devitt, T.D., P.C.J.)

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Thompson, do you have anything?

MR. THOMPSON: No, Your Honour. As I say, we take no position on this matter.

THE COURT: All right. Stand up, Ms. Gionet. Is that the way you pronounce it?

THE ACCUSED: Gionet, but that's okay.

THE COURT: All right. Well, having regard to all of the circumstances, it is my view that in these particular circumstances, this is not against the public interest and it is certainly in your interest.

Following the principles of R. v. Fallowfield, I am going to grant you an absolute discharge.

I do not see any social benefit to making it conditional, particularly if the only condition is going to be to keep the peace. You do not strike me as a person that is not keeping the peace, so to make that a condition, I do not see any benefit to a conditional discharge, so I am going to grant you an absolute discharge.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Your Honour. I will explain to her what the impact of that is and so on in relation to --

MR. CONROY: And Count 2?

MR. THOMPSON: That should be stayed, if it wasn't already. Thank you, Your Honour. Those are the matters I can deal with this morning.

(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)